# United States District Court

for the

District of Massachusetts

United States of America	)	
v. SAMUEL JEAN-BAPTISTE	) Case No.	24-mj-5210-JGD
Defendant	)	·

# ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Part I - Eligibility for Detention

Upon the

- ₫ Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or
- ₫ Motion of the Government or Court's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),

the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.

# Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)

☐ A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable
presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person
and the community because the following conditions have been met:
$\square$ (1) the defendant is charged with one of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
☐ (a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C.
§ 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
$\Box$ (b) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; or
(c) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or
$\Box$ (d) any felony if such person has been convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs
(a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal
jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; or
$\Box$ (e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves:
(i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; <i>and</i>
☐ (2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.
§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed; <i>and</i>
$\Box$ (3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was
committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; <i>and</i>
(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the
defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

### Case 1:24-cr-10225-WGY Document 15 Filed 07/23/24 Page 2 of 3

B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:

idant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defenda	nt
nitted one or more of the following offenses:	
<b>☑</b> (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the	
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);	
☐ (2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;	
□ (3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 year or more is prescribed;	rs
□ (4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or	of
<b>(5)</b> an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.	

☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above

☐ The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)

OR

☑ The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.

## Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention

After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:

■ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.

☐ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.

In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:

<b></b> ₹Í	Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
<b>⊘</b> Í	Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
<b>⊘</b> I	Prior criminal history
	Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
<b>√</b>	History of violence or use of weapons
	History of alcohol or substance abuse
	Lack of stable employment
	Lack of stable residence
	Lack of financially responsible sureties

# Case 1:24-cr-10225-WGY Document 15 Filed 07/23/24 Page 3 of 3

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial				
☐ Lack of significant community or family ties to this district				
☐ Significant family or other ties outside the United States				
☐ Lack of legal status in the United States				
Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration				
☐ Prior failure to appear in court as ordered				
☐ Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement				
☐ Use of alias(es) or false documents				
☐ Background information unknown or unverified				
<ul> <li>Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release</li> </ul>				
OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:				

#### $\mathbf{O}$

The defendant is charged in a criminal complaint with distribution and possession with intent to distribute methamphetamine in violation of 21 U.S.C. sec. 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(C). A detention hearing was held at which Special Agent Sarah Rizos of the FBI testified, and was cross-examined by defense counsel. The evidence before the court is that the defendant was arrested after two Confidential Sources (CS1 & CS2) engaged in controlled buys from the defendant. Specifically, in July 2023, CS1 made three controlled purchases of a total of 700 grams of fentanyl from the defendant. In May 2024, CS2 engaged in negotiations with the defendant whereby the defendant would travel from Las Vegas, Nevada to Boston, Massachusetts where he would sell CS2 ten pounds of methamphetamine. On May 29, 2024, the defendant was arrested in Boston in the process of delivering ten pounds of methamphetamine to CS2. Thus, at this juncture the evidence against the defendant is strong.

The defendant has a lengthy criminal record, beginning as a juvenile. Of significance in 2008 he was sentenced to 10-12 years of incarceration for armed assault/home invasion. The defendant was 21 years old at the time. It was a brutal crime where the victims were bound and gagged, and the assailants had what appeared to be a firearm. After serving approximately 10 years, the defendant was released on probation. His 5 year term of probation ended early, in 2020, because of the progress he had made.

The defendant lives in Las Vegas with his girlfriend. He was working full time for Federal Express and attending college. The defendant has the support of his girlfriend and a family friend with whom he could reside if released in Massachusetts.

Given the large amount of drugs that the defendant was able to sell, it appears that he is heavily involved in drug trafficking. He sold both fentanyl and methamphetamine, both dangerous drugs. While the defendant has taken positive steps in his life, given that he engaged in the drug trade after being incarcerated for 10 years leaves the court with the conclusion that he cannot or will not comply with conditions of release. The government has met its burden of proving by clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. The defendant is ordered detained.

#### **Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention**

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date:	07/23/2024	/s/ Judith Gail Dein
		United States Magistrate Judge